

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

DONALD G. KAUFMAN,
Plaintiff,

vs.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. SA-21-CV-00589-ESC

ORDER

This order concerns Plaintiff’s Motion for Attorney’s Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act [#17]. Plaintiff has also filed a Memorandum in support of the motion [#17]. By his motion, Plaintiff asks the Court to award attorney’s fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act (“EAJA”), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a), as the “prevailing party” in the above-styled cause of action. The EAJA provides a mandatory attorney’s fee award for a prevailing party that meets certain financial eligibility requirements. *Baker v. Bowen*, 839 F.2d 1075, 1079–80 (5th Cir. 1988). Once the plaintiff establishes these facts, the government must pay attorney’s fees unless it is able to prove that its position was substantially justified, or special circumstances make an award unjust. *Id.*

The record reflects that on February 16, 2022, the Court granted the Commissioner’s unopposed motion to remand for further administrative proceedings [#15]. That same day, the Court issued a Final Judgment vacating the Commissioner’s administrative determination finding Plaintiff not disabled and remanding this case for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) [#16]. The Fifth Circuit has held that a party who obtains a remand in a social security appeal pursuant to the fourth sentence of § 405(g) qualifies as a prevailing party

for purposes of fees under the EAJA. *Breaux v. U.S.D.H.H.S.*, 20 F.3d 1324, 1324 (5th Cir. 1994) (per curiam).

Plaintiff has requested fees in the amount of \$7,620.00 and expenses paid by Plaintiff in the amount of \$402.00. In support of the instant motion, Plaintiff's counsel has submitted an itemization of the hours he spent representing Plaintiff in this case before the Court. According to Plaintiff, his attorney has spent a total of 38.1 hours on this case at a rate of \$200.00 per hour. The Commissioner has filed a response to the motion [#18], which indicates she is not opposed to Plaintiff's fee request. The Commissioner does, however, request that the expense of \$402.00 be paid from the Judgment Fund as filing fees are considered a cost and not an expense. 28 U.S.C. § 1920; 28 U.S.C. §2412(a).

Having reviewed the motion and the record in this case, and in light of the lack of opposition on the part of the Commissioner, the Court finds that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees under the EAJA as the prevailing party in this case, and the Court will grant Plaintiff's motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act [#17] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is awarded \$7,620.00 in attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), made payable to Plaintiff and mailed to Plaintiff's counsel at his address of record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is reimbursed \$402.00 in filing fees to be paid from the Judgment Fund by the Department of the Treasury under 31 U.S.C. § 1304, made

payable to Plaintiff and mailed to Plaintiff at his address of record.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED.

SIGNED this 6th day of June, 2022.



ELIZABETH S. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE